

International Aegean Conference on Electrical Machines and Power Electronics,
ACEMP'07 - ELECTROMOTION'07 Joint Meeting

September 10-12, 2007, Bodrum, Turkey

Reviewer:.....

Review of Paper No:

Paper name:.....

Reviewer Familiarity with Subject Matter (Relates to the confidence you have in your review)

High

Moderate

Low

Overall Value Added to the Field (Check as many as appropriate)

- New information
- Valuable confirmation of present knowledge
- Clarity to present understanding
- New perspective, issue, or problem definition
- Not much
- Other

If from reading the paper you know who the author is, how different is this from earlier papers on the same topic by the same author? That is, is it the same as or a slight modification of other papers, with little or no new information?

Totally or largely different from other papers

Moderately different from other papers

Totally or largely identical to other papers

Don't know

Review IEEE criteria

*score: Make your choice **bold** for each criterion*

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| important subject | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | trivial, limited in scope |
| timely subject | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | obsolete |
| high reference value | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | no reference value |
| Original contribution | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | previously published |
| scientifically sound | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | dubious, obscure |
| application oriented | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | irrelevant for industry |
| experimentally, confirmed | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | unproven |
| discussion of past work | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | poor background |

Recommendation:

- Reject:** *Content inappropriate to the conference or has little merit*
- Probable Reject:** *Basic flaws in content or presentation or very poorly written*
- Marginal Tend to Reject:** *Not as badly flawed; major effort necessary to make acceptable but content well-covered in literature already*
- Marginal Tend to Accept:** *Content has merit, but accuracy, clarity, completeness, and/or writing should and could be improved in time*
- Clear Accept:** *Content, presentation, and writing meet professional norms; improvements may be advisable but acceptable as is*
- Must Accept:** *Candidate for journal publication. Suggested improvements still appropriate*

Is this paper a candidate for publication in Electromotion / IEEE?

- Yes, if yes which journal?
- No
- Unsure

Comments for the Program Committee

Reasons must be included for aH papers, because they help us determine what to do when reviewers disagree with each other.)

Paper Categorization :

Indicate for which session you propose this paper (session list on the second page)

ACTUATORS

DC motor

DI (DIAGNOSTIC)

DR-SIM (Drive-Simulation)

E-vehic (Electric Vehicle)

IM-AN (Analysis Induction Motor)

IM-AP (Application Induction Motor)

IM-CO (Control Induction Motor)

IM-DE (Desingn Induction Motor)

IM-PAR (Parameter Estimation Induction Motor)

MIS-THEORY (Miscellaneous-Theory)

PE-C (Converters)

PE- I (Inverter)

PE – emc (EMC-Harmonic)

PE-SMPS

Photo (Photovoltaic)

PM-Analysis (Permanent Magnet Brushless)

PM-Control (Permanent Magnet Brushless)

PM-Design (Permanent Magnet Brushless)

PO-Q (Power Quality)

SR

SYNCH (Synchronous Machines)

Trafo (transformers)